## REMARKS

## Request for Reconsideration, Informal Matters, Claims Pending

The non-final Office action mailed on 4 May 2006 has been considered carefully. Reconsideration of the claimed invention in view of any amendments above and the discussion below is respectfully requested.

Claim 21 was amended grammatically.

Claims 1, 2-9 and 13-21 are pending.

# Allowability of Claims Over Balachandran

## Rejection Summary

Claims 1-4, 7-14 & 17-20 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2005/0054331 (Balachandran).

#### Discussion of Claim 1

Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of Claim 2, which has been canceled. Balachandran fails to disclose or suggest a

... method in a wireless broadcast/multicast service subscriber device, the method comprising:

obtaining broadcast/multicast service identification information; determining a paging slot to monitor based on the broadcast/multicast service identification information;

Appl. No. 10/748,032 Confirm No. 6749 Examiner K. Ferguson

Art Unit 2617

obtaining broadcast/multicast service information based on

information obtained by monitoring the paging slot.

At para. 0028, Balachandran discusses sending a system overhead

message, i.e., a Broadcast Service Parameter Message (BPSM), on a paging or

control channel. At para. 0034, Balachandran indicates that the BPSM includes

data for channels that carry BCMCS content, channel configuration, and

content identifiers among other information. Balachandran, however, does

not disclose or suggest determining the paging slot to monitor "... based on

the broadcast/multicast service identification information..." as in Claim 1.

Balachandran is silent in this regard. Claim 1 is thus patentably distinguished

over Balachandran.

Discussion of Claim 5

Regarding Claim 5, Balachandran fails to disclose or suggest in

combination with the limitations of Claim 1

... obtaining updated broadcast/multicast service identification

information,

determining a new paging slot to monitor based on the updated

broadcast/multicast service identification information.

Contrary to the Examiner's suggestion, there is no indication that

Balachandran changes the paging slot on which the BPSM is sent. Claim 5 is

thus further patentably distinguished over Balachandran.

8

Appl. No. 10/748,032 Confirm No. 6749 Examiner K. Ferguson

Art Unit 2617

Discussion of Claim 6

Regarding Claim 6, Balachandran fails to disclose or suggest in

combination with the limitations of Claim 1 "... obtaining the

broadcast/multicast service identification information in a message received

at the wireless broadcast/multicast service subscriber device." Balcahndran

does not discuss sending a message indicating on which paging slot BPSM is

sent. Claim 6 is thus further patentably distinguished over Balachandran.

Discussion of Claim 13

Regarding Claim 13, Balachandran fails to disclose or suggest a

... method in a wireless communications network providing broadcast/multicast services, the method comprising:

determining a paging slot;

sending a broadcast/multicast service identity to subscribers of a corresponding broadcast/multicast service,

the broadcast/multicast service identity identifying the determined paging slot;

sending broadcast/multicast service information on the determined paging slot.

At para. 0028, Balachandran discusses sending a system overhead

message, i.e., a Broadcast Service Parameter Message (BPSM), on a paging or

control channel. At para. 0034, Balachandran indicates that the BPSM includes

data for channels that carry BCMCS content, channel configuration, and

content identifiers among other information. Balachandran, however, does

not disclose or suggest "... sending a broadcast/multicast service identity to

9

Appl. No. 10/748,032 Confirm No. 6749 Examiner K. Ferguson

Art Unit 2617

subscribers of a corresponding broadcast/multicast service [wherein] the

broadcast/multicast service identity identifying the determined paging slot"

as in Claim 13. Claim 13 is thus patentably distinguished over Balachandran.

Discussion of Claim 14

Regarding Claim 14, Balachandran fails to disclose or suggest in

combination with the limitations of Claim 13 "... determining the paging slot

includes selecting a paging slot less likely than other paging slots to be

monitored by non-subscribers of a broadcast/multicast service." The

Examiner's detailed action does not specifically address this limitation. Claim

14 is thus further distinguished over Balachandran.

Discussion of Claim 15

Regarding Claim 15, Balachandran fails to disclose or suggest in

combination with the limitations of Claim 13

... determining a new paging slot;

broadcast/multicast sending a new service identity subscribers broadcast/multicast the of the service, new

broadcast/multicast service identity identifying the new paging slot;

sending broadcast/multicast service information on the new

paging slot.

Contrary to the Examiner's suggestion, there is no indication that

Balachandran changes the paging slot on which the BPSM is sent, or that

Balachandran sends a new "broadcast/multicast service identity identifying

10

the new paging slot". Claim 15 is thus further patentably distinguished over Balachandran.

#### Discussion of Claim 18

Regarding Claim 18, Balachandran fails to disclose or suggest a

... method in a wireless communications network providing broadcast/multicast services, the method comprising:

dynamically selecting a paging slot for communicating broadcast/multicast subscriber information;

communicating broadcast/multicast identification information corresponding to the slot on which broadcast/multicast subscriber information may be obtained by a broadcast/multicast service subscriber device,

communicating broadcast/multicast service information on the paging slot selected.

Contrary to the Examiner's suggestion, there is no indication that Balachandran "dynamically" selects the paging slot on which the BPSM is sent, or that Balachandran communicates "broadcast/multicast service identification information corresponding to the slot on which broadcast/multicast subscriber information may be obtained...." Claim 15 is thus further patentably distinguished over Balachandran.

#### Discussion of Claim 19

Regarding Claim 19, Balachandran fails to disclose or suggest in combination with the limitations of Claim 18 "... dynamically selecting the paging slot for communicating broadcast/multicast subscriber information

ZHU ET AL. "Broadcast/Multicast Services In Communication Networks" Atty. Docket No. CS23259RL Appl. No. 10/748,032 Confirm No. 6749 Examiner K. Ferguson Art Unit 2617

includes selecting a paging slot less likely than other paging slots to be monitored by non-subscribers of broadcast/multicast service." The Examiner's detailed action does not specifically address this limitation. Claim

to the second detect close that opening detection is a second second to the second sec

19 is thus further patentably distinguished over Balachandran.

**Prayer For Relief** 

In view of any amendments and the discussion above, the Claims

of the present application are in condition for allowance. Kindly withdraw

any rejections and objections and allow this application to issue as a United

States Patent without further delay.

Respectfully submitted,

/ R K Bowler /

\_\_\_\_

ROLAND K. BOWLER II 15 SEPT. 2006 REG. No. 33,477

Motorola, Inc. Intellectual Property Dept. (RKB) 600 North U.S. Highway 45, W4-37Q Libertyville, Illinois 60048

TELEPHONE NO. (847) 523-3978 FACSIMILE NO. (847) 523-2350